

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-4, 7-13, 26-28, and 30-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shin et al. to teach an inherent state of fact, for the reasons set forth in the prior office action; Claims 1-4, 7-13, 26-28, 30-35, and 37-38 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kametaka et al. with Shih et al. to teach an inherent state of fact, for the reasons set forth in the previous office action; and Claims 1-4, 7-13, and 26-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 59-216631 for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Independent Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of allowable dependent Claim 6, and independent Claim 27 to include the limitations of allowable dependent Claim 29.


Accordingly, the claims are allowable.

Applicant has added new dependent claims 51-64. Because the dependent claims depend from an allowable dependent claim, the dependent claims are allowable and do not raise new issues requiring further searching.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By:  \_\_\_\_\_

Douglas W. Swartz  
Registration No. 37,739  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141  
(303) 863-9700

Date: July 17, 2006